# CONTACT LOCI AND VALUATIONS 

LAWRENCE EIN

This is joint work with R. Lazarsfeld and M. Mustaţă. Let $X$ be a smooth complex variety. Given $m \geq 0$, we denote by

$$
X_{m}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[t] /\left(t^{m+1}\right), X\right)
$$

the space of $m^{\text {th }}$ order arcs on $X$. Similarly we define the space of formal $\operatorname{arcs}$ on $X$ as

$$
X_{\infty}=\operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[t]], X)
$$

Consider now a non-zero ideal sheaf $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X}$ defining a subscheme $Y \subseteq X$. Given a finite or infinite arc $\gamma$ on $X$, the order of vanishing of $\mathfrak{a}$ - or the order of contact of the corresponding scheme $Y$ - along $\gamma$ is defined in the natural way. ${ }^{1}$ For a fixed integer $p \geq 0$, we define the contact loci

$$
\operatorname{Cont}^{p}(Y)=\operatorname{Cont}^{p}(\mathfrak{a})=\left\{\gamma \in X_{\infty} \mid \operatorname{ord}_{\gamma}(\mathfrak{a})=p\right\} .
$$

These are locally closed cylinders, i.e. they arise as the common pull-back of the locally closed sets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cont}^{p}(Y)_{m}=\operatorname{Cont}^{p}(\mathfrak{a})_{m}=_{\text {def }}\left\{\gamma \in X_{m} \mid \operatorname{ord}_{\gamma}(\mathfrak{a})=p\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined for any $m \geq p$. Let $W$ be the closure of an irreducible component of $\operatorname{Cont}^{p}(\mathfrak{a})$. We can naturally associate a valuation of the function field of $X$ to $W$ in the following manner. Let $f$ be a nonzero rational function of $X$. We define

$$
\operatorname{val}_{W}(f)=\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma}(f) \text { for a general } \gamma \in W .
$$

Such a valuation is called a contact valuation. Suppose $\mu: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ be a proper birational morphism. Assume that $E$ is an irreducible divisor in $X^{\prime}$. We can define the valuation associated to $E$ by $\operatorname{val}_{E}(f)=$ the vanishing order of $f$ along $E$. A valuation on the function field of $X$ is called a divisoriral valuation if it is of the form $m \cdot \operatorname{val}_{E}$ for some positive integer $m$. A basic invariant of $\operatorname{val}_{E}$ from higher dimensional birational geometry is the discrepancy along $E$ which is defined as

$$
k_{E}=\operatorname{val}_{E}(\operatorname{det}(J(\mu)) \text { where } J(\mu) \text { is the Jacobian matrix of } \mu \text {. }
$$

$k_{E}$ is just the coefficient of the relative canonical divisor $K_{X^{\prime} / X}$ along $E$.
Theorem A. Every contact valuation is a divisorial valuation. Conversely, every divisorial valuation can be realized uniquely as a contact valuation.

[^0]In the above correspondence, suppose that a contact valuation $\mathrm{val}_{W}$ is equal to a divisorial valuation $m \cdot V a l_{E}$. The following theorem relates the geometry between the two valuations.

Theorem B. $\operatorname{codim}\left(W, X_{\infty}\right)=m \cdot\left(k_{E}+1\right)$.
The above two theorems also hold for singular varieties after some minor modifications using Nash's blow-up and Mather's canonical class.

These results can be used to study singularities of pairs. Let $X$ be a normal $\mathbb{Q}$ Gorenstein complex variety and $Y$ be closed subscheme of $X$. We also fix a positive number $\lambda$. One can study the singularities of the pair $(X, \lambda \cdot Y)$ using log-resolution. Consider a divisorial valuation of the form $\operatorname{val}_{E}$ with center $c_{X}(E)$ in $X$. We consider the $\log$ discrepancy of $(X, \lambda \cdot Y)$ along $E$,

$$
a(E, X, \lambda \cdot Y)=k_{E}+1-\lambda \cdot \operatorname{val}_{E}\left(I_{Y}\right),
$$

where $I_{Y}$ is the ideal of $Y$ in $X$. Suppose that $B$ is a closed subset of $X$. We can measure the singularities of the pair $(X, \lambda \cdot Y)$ along $B$ using the invariant minimal log-discrepancy.

Definition 0.1. Let $B \subseteq X$ be a nonempty closed subset. The minimal log discrepancy of $(X, \lambda \cdot Y)$ on $W$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m l d(B ; X, \lambda \cdot Y):=\inf _{c_{X}(E) \subseteq W}\{a(E ; X, \lambda \cdot Y)\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $D$ is a normal effective Cartier divisor in $X$ and $B$ be a closed subset of $D$. The following theorem is a joint result with Mustaţă. It allows us to compute the minimal log-discrepancy $m l d(B ; X, D+\lambda \cdot Y)$ using $\operatorname{mld}\left(B ; D,\left.\lambda \cdot Y\right|_{D}\right)$.

Theorem C. Let $X$ be a normal, local complete intersection variety, and $Y$ be a proper closed subscheme of $X$. Let $\lambda$ is a positive number. Assume $D \subset X$ is a normal effective Cartier divisor such that $D \nsubseteq Y$, then for every proper closed subset $B \subset D$, we have

$$
m l d(B ; X, D+\lambda \cdot Y)=\operatorname{mld}\left(B ; D,\left.\lambda \cdot Y\right|_{D}\right) .
$$

The theorem is first proved in the case that $X$ is smooth in a joint paper of Ein, Mustaţǎ and Yasuda. In general, Kollár, and Shokurov have conjectured that the result is true when $X$ is just normal and $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein (Inversion of Adjunction). See Kollár's article for a discussion of this conjecture and related topics. The following are some geometric applications of the Theorem.

Theorem D. If $X$ is a normal, local complete intersection variety, and $Y$ is a closed subscheme. Suppose that $\lambda$ is a positive number then the function $x \longrightarrow \operatorname{mld}(x ; X, \lambda \cdot Y)$, $x \in X$, is lower semicontinuous.

Theorem E. Let $X$ be a normal, local complete intersection variety. $X$ has log canonical (canonical, terminal) singularities if and only if $X_{m}$ is equidimensional (respectively irreducible, normal) for every $m$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Specifically, pulling $\mathfrak{a}$ back via $\gamma$ yields an ideal $\left(t^{e}\right)$ in $\mathbb{C}[t] /\left(t^{m+1}\right)$ or $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$, and one sets

    $$
    \operatorname{ord}_{\gamma}(\mathfrak{a})=\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma}(Y)=e
    $$

    $\left(\right.$ Take $\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma}(\mathfrak{a})=m+1$ when $\mathfrak{a}$ pulls back to the zero ideal in $\mathbb{C}[t] /\left(t^{m+1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma}(\mathfrak{a})=\infty$ when it pulls back to the zero ideal in $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$.)

